

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/880,231	06/12/2001	Ron Karim	15437-0508	5058
45657	7590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
	I PALERMO TRUON MICROSYSTEMS, INC	WU, QING YUAN		
2055 GATEWAY PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 550 SAN JOSE.	CA 95110-1089	2194	-	
5.11.1552, 6.1. 35116 1663			DATE MAIL ED. 04/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V	Ĵ
7.6	1

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/880,231	KARIM, RON	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Qing-Yuan Wu	2194	

	Qing-Yuan Wu	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			andonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig rethan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	•	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>N/A</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>N/A</i> .			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		SOELAO	

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant's amendment to claims 1 and 17 includes the new limitation "provided by the same operating system" (claim 1, line 7; claim 17, line 8). In addition, applicant's amendment to claims 4-11 and 20-27 includes the new limitation "a/said particular mock resource." These limitations were not recited previously and would require further consideration and search.

SUE LAO
PRIMARY EXAMINER